

The new WHO Health Sector strategy: STIs 2016-2021

–Rights–Equity

-Evidence

A focus on rights

- 1948 rights are universal, indivisible and interrelated
- SDGs (adopted this month) have rights in preamble
- SRHR has become SRH+RR- does this matter?

Sexual rights

The rights of all persons, free of coercion, discrimination and violence, to:

- the highest attainable standard of sexual health including access to sexual and reproductive health care services
- · seek, receive and impart information related to sexuality
- sexuality education
- respect for bodily integrity
- choose their partner
- decide to be sexually active or not
- consensual sexual relations
- consensual marriage
- decide whether or not, and when, to have children
- pursue a satisfying, safe and pleasurable sexual life

WHO Working Definition, 2002

What does an absence of sexual rights mean for STIs?

- Right to choose partner: Criminalisation of consensual sexual behaviours (transactional sex, same sex relationships, sex before marriage)
- Right to information and education: No guarantee of comprehensive sexuality education (enshrined in ICPD, absent in SDGs)

A focus on equity

- Equity in risk reduction (esp. empowerment and autonomy of women and girls)
- Equity in access to services through universal health coverage

Hawkes, Lancet Global Health 2014

Equity in risk reduction

- Management of gender based violence, esp sexual violence
- Focus on women and girls

The gendered nature of violence





Equity in service access?

 Vast majority of countries rely on out of pocket payments for STI treatment in private sector – strategies for ensuring equity in access to private sector?

Evidence?

- Evidence that private sector can be equitable?
- "UHC does not equate to action on determinants of health" (Marmot, Lancet, 2013) - Evidence that action at level of health sector reduces STI transmission at a population level?
- Evidence that empowered women are at lower risk of poor health outcomes?
- Evidence that policy makers pay attention to evidence (Buse and Hawkes, Lancet 2014)?

