VCS

## The National Cervical Screening Program: On the Cusp of Change

A/Prof Marion Saville

I am Co-Principal Investigator on the Compass trial which has received equipment and funding contribution from Roche Molecular Systems.

## IN THIS TALK

#### • National HPV Vaccination Program

- Coverage
- Impact
- Future

#### • Renewal of the National Cervical Screening Program

- Rationale
- Safety
- Practical Implications
- The Compass trial
  - Why
  - Design
  - Progress



## OVERVIEW

- Where are we now?
  - Coverage in females
  - Coverage in males
  - What have we learnt
- Where might we be going?
  - Two dose schedules
  - Nine valent vaccine



Bruni L et al. The Lancet Global Health 2016 4, e453-e463



## National HPV Vaccination Program

- 4vHPV vaccine 3 dose course prevents infection and disease (CIN, cervical, anogenital cancers and genital warts) due to HPV types 16/18/6/11
- 2007-2009: catch up females aged 12-26
- 2009-present: routine school based vax girls (1<sup>st</sup> yr high school – usual age 12-13)
- 2013-2014: catch up program males at school age 12-15 (+ some GP delivery)
- 2015: routine school based vax boys and girls (1<sup>st</sup> yr high school – usual age 12-13)





### National notified coverage female catch up

As held at Sept 2011. Excludes consumers who have opted off. \* Brotherton JML, et al. Vaccine 2014;32: 592-597.



## Coverage data

National (Australia) HPV 3 dose vaccination coverage for females turning 15 years of age in 2015



State

National (Australia) HPV 3 dose vaccination coverage for males turning 15 years of age in 2015



Source: www.hpvregister.org.au/research/coverage-data

National HPV Vaccination Program Register

## Equity in screening vs vaccination

- Victoria, Australia
- (Barbaro et al Med J Aust 2012; 196 (7): 445)
- National data similar (Barbaro & Brotherton, Aust NZJ Public Health. 2014; 38: 419–423)



## Vaccine knowledge we now have...

- The vaccines are very safe
- The vaccines are very immunogenic
- The vaccines are very effective
  - In the real world as well as in trials
  - At creating herd immunity
  - In males and in sites other than the cervix
  - With some cross protective effects against non-targeted HPV types
  - At available prices, in most settings, they are cost-effective
  - Although not therapeutic, they can prevent secondary disease/'recurrence' in those with previous disease



## The vaccines are very safe\*

- Global distribution >232 million doses
  - 4vHPV 178 million, 2vHPV 54.4 million (to end 2014)
- Reviewed frequently by GACVS (WHO) summary 2014
   <u>http://www.who.int/vaccine\_safety/committee/topics/hpv/GACVS\_Statement\_HPV\_12\_Mar\_2014.pdf?ua=1</u>
- Population based assessments of thromboembolic, autoimmune, neurological diseases show no increased risk following vaccination
  - Arnheim-Dalstrom BMJ 2013, Scheller et al JAMA 2015, Chao et al, J Intern Med 2012, Gee et al Vaccine 2011, Grimaldi-Bensauda J Intern Med 2014
- No evidence of harm if inadvertently administered in pregnancy
  - Goss MA Obstet Gynecol. 2014, Dana et al Obstet Gynecol 2009, Garland et al Obstet Gynecol 2009, Moro Vaccine 2015, Angelo Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2014
- \* See safety review summary Macartney K et al, Drug Safety 2013;36(6):393-412.



## The vaccines are very immunogenic

- High level antibodies sustained for ~ decade\*
- Evidence of sustained high level antibody after 1 dose 2vHPV vaccine and associated VE
  - Safaeian M et al Cancer Prev Res 2013, Kreimer A et al JNCI 2011 & Lancet Oncol 2015
- Is the immune response more like that to a whole virus than a subunit vaccine?\*\*

\* Roteli-Martins 2012 Hum Vacc Immunother; Nygard et al EUROGIN 2013 \*\* Schiller J, Lowy D JID 2015





### The vaccines are very effective: in the real world



Figure 1: Trends in prevalence rates of high grade histologically confirmed cervical abnormalities (CIN2+)\* diagnosed in Victorian women, Australia, by age group, 2000-2015 Updated from Brotherton et al . MJA 2016. Source VCCR



Population HPV vaccine effectiveness for cervical histological outcome, by age in 2007, for completed vaccine course



Adj VE CIN3+ 47.5% (22.7%-64.4%)

> Victorian Cervical Cytology Registry





- In males & in sites other than the cervix (anal, oral) (Giuiliano et al NEJM 2011, Palefsky et al NEJM 2011, Kreimer et al Lancet Oncol 2011, Herrero et al PLOS One 2013)
- With some cross protective effects against non-targeted HPV types (Malagon et al Lancet ID 2012)
- At available prices, in most settings, they are cost-effective (Fesenfeld et al Vaccine 2013, Canfell et al, Vaccine 2012)
- Although not therapeutic, they can prevent secondary disease/'recurrence' in those with previous disease (Joura et al BMJ 2012, Kang et al Gynecol Onc 2013, Hildesheim et al 2015, Garland et al Int J Canc 2016)



## Two dose schedules

 Two doses spaced >6 months apart in those aged < 15 years as immunogenic as 3 in adults

- Dobson S et al JAMA 2013, Romanowski B et al. Human Vaccin Immunother 2014

- Approved for use as 2 dose schedule by WHO in 2014
- · Countries which have adopted two dose schedules include
  - Switzerland (2012), parts of Canada (Quebec and BC early users with dose 3 at month 60 if required), from 2014 the UK, South Africa, France, Spain, Austria, The Netherlands and Chile.
  - By 2016, 65% of vaccinating countries using two dose schedule.\*
  - Approved in US Oct 2016 for 9vHPV vaccine \* Brotherton et al. Curr Obs Gynecol Rep 2016





Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics 10:5, 1155-1165; May 2014

Figure 2. Kinetics of HPV-16 and HPV-18 antibody responses for girls aged 9–14 y in the 2D 20/20 M0,6 group and women aged 15–25 y in the 3D 20/20 M0,1,6 group (according-to-protocol month 48 immunogenicity cohort, subjects seronegative at baseline). 2D, 2-dose schedule; 3D, 3-dose schedule; 20/20, 20 µg each of HPV-16 and -18 L1 virus-like particles; 95% CI, exact 95% confidence interval; EU/mL, ELISA unit per milliliter; GMT, geometric mean antibody titer; M, month. Natural infection, GMT in subjects who had cleared a natural infection.<sup>12</sup> Plateau, GMT at the plateau level (month 45–50) in women aged 15–25 y (total vaccinated cohort) in a study in which sustained protection with the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine has been shown (i.e., 397.8 EU/mL for HPV-16 and 297.3 EU/mL for HPV-18).<sup>13</sup>



## Nine valent HPV vaccine



Figure 2: HPV VLP types in the nonavalent VLP vaccine VLPs in the bivalent, quadravalent, and the nonavalent vaccines are shown with the proportion of neoplasistic disease attributed to each group. HPV-human papillomavirus. VLP-virus-like particle.

Schiller & Muller. Next generation prophylactic human papillomavirus vaccines. Lancet Oncol. 2015 May;16(5):e217 - e225



## Nine valent HPV vaccine



Fig. 1. Primary objectives of the study.

A, Luxembourg et al. / Contemporary Clinical Trials 42 (2015) 18–25



## PER PROTOCOL POPULATION

Table 2. Effect of 9vHPV Vaccine on the Incidence of Cervical, Vulvar, and Vaginal Disease and of Persistent HPV-Related Infection.\*

End Point	9vHPV V (N=7	'accine 099)	qHPV (N=)	/accine 7105)	Risk Redu (95% (	iction CI)
	no./total no.	cases/1000 person-yr	no./total no.	cases/1000 person-yr		
Per-protocol efficacy population						
High-grade cervical, vulvar, and vaginal disease†						
Related to HPV-31, 33, 45, 52, or 58	1/6	016	0.1	30/6,017	1.6	96.7 (80.9 to 99.8)
Related to HPV-6, 11, 16, or 18	1/5	883	0.1	3/5898	0.2	66.6 (-203.0 to 98.7)
High-grade cervical epithelial neoplasia, adenocard ma in situ, and cervical cancer	cino-					
Related to HPV-31, 33, 45, 52, or 58	1/5	948	0.1	27/5943	1.5	96.3 (79.5 to 99.8)
Related to HPV-6, 11, 16, or 18	1/5	823	0.1	1/5832	0.1	–0.4 (≤ –999 to 97.4)
Persistent infection ≥6 months' duration¶						
Related to HPV-31, 33, 45, 52, or 58	35/5	939	2.1	810/5953	52.4	96.0 (94.4 to 97.2)
Related to HPV-6, 11, 16, or 18	59/5	812	3.6	80/5830	5.0	26.4 (-4.3 to 47.5)

Joura EA et al N Engl J Med 2015;372:711-23



National HPV Vaccination Program Register

Event	9vHPV Vaccine	qHPV Vaccine
Event	(N=7071)	(14=7078)
	no. of partie	apants (%)
Participants with one or more adverse events†	6640 (93.9)	6419 (90.7)
Injection-site event:	6414 (90.7)	6012 (84.9)
Pain∫	6356 (89.9)	5910 (83.5)
Mild	3754 (53.1)	4043 (57.1)
Moderate	2300 (32.5)	1682 (23.8)
Severe	302 (4.3)	185 (2.6)
Swelling	2830 (40.0)	2035 (28.8)
Mild: 0 to ≤2.5 cm	1958 (27.7)	1594 (22.5)
Moderate: >2.5 cm to ≤5.0 cm	597 (8.4)	332 (4.7)
Severe: >5.0 cm	272 (3.8)	109 (1.5)
Unknown	3 (0)	0 (0)
Erythema	2407 (34.0)	1810 (25.6)
Mild: 0 to ≤2.5 cm	1921 (27.2)	1555 (22.0)
Moderate: >2.5 cm to ≤5.0 cm	370 (5.2)	197 (2.8)
Severe: >5 cm	114 (1.6)	57 (0.8)
Unknown	2 (0)	1 (0)
Pruritus	388 (5.5)	282 (4.0)
Mild	301 (4.3)	223 (3.2)
Moderate	80 (1.1)	56 (0.8)
Severe	7 (0.1)	3 (0)
Systemic event¶	3948 (55.8)	3883 (54.9)
Any vaccine-related systemic event	2086 (29.5)	1929 (27.3)
Headache	1031 (14.6)	969 (13.7)
Pyrexia	357 (5.0)	301 (4.3)
Nausea	311 (4.4)	261 (3.7)
Dizziness	211 (3.0)	197 (2.8)
Fatigue	166 (2.3)	150 (2.1)
Serious event	233 (3.3)	183 (2.6)
Vaccine-related event	2 (0)	2 (0)
Death	5 (0.1)	5 (0.1)
Discontinuation due to adverse event**	8 (0.1)	4 (0.1)
Vaccine-related event	5 (0.1)	3 (0)
Serious event	3 (0)	1 (0)
Serious vaccine-related event	1 (0)	0 (0)

Joura EA et al N Engl J Med 2015;372:711-23





Ref: 1) Jemal JNCI 2013; 2) Saraiya, JNCI 2015

22

## CONCLUSIONS

- HPV vaccination has been a major success for Australia
- In coming years we expect to see an profound impact on the incidence of cervical and other cancers
- A two dose 9 valent HPV vaccination schedule is effective and likely to be cost effective



## Renewal of the National Cervical Screening Program

- Rationale
- Safety
- Practical Implications

VCS

#### 1991 NCSP Policy:

- 2-yearly (Pap test)
   18 to 69 years<sup>1</sup>
   Registry reminder
- Participation:<sup>2</sup>
   ▶ 2-yearly 58%
   ▶ 5-yearly 83%<sup>2</sup>
- 50% reduction in incidence & deaths



<sup>1</sup>NHMRC Australia, Guidelines for Cervical Screening 2005. <sup>2</sup>Australian Institute of Health and Welfare 2014, 2011-2012.

## vcs

## WHAT IS THE AIM OF RENEWAL?

- Ensure the success of the program continues
- All women , HPV vaccinated and unvaccinated......
- Access to a cervical screening program based on current evidence and best practice.



## WHY?

- New knowledge on the development of cervical cancer.
- New evidence for cervical cancer prevention and screening
  - New technologies
    - liquid-based technology
    - computer assisted image analysis
    - HPV tests
- 2007 National HPV Vaccination Program (girls)
- 2013 National HPV Vaccination Program (girls + boys)
- Current NCSP is intensive compared to other countries



## George Papanicolaou

- 1928- Pap test developed
- 1943- Diagnosis of uterine cancer by the vaginal smear
- 1948- American Cancer Society
- "Pap smear is a valuable test"





## Harald zur Hausen

#### 1982

• Demonstrated that HPV was the cause of cervical cancer

#### 2008

• Nobel Prize in Medicine







## lan Frazer AC

- 1991-2005 Developed the first vaccine for HPV
- 2007/2013 National HPV Vaccination Program – girls/boys

**GARDASIL™** 

🔊 MSD

[Quadrivalent Human Papillomavirus (Types 6, 11, 16, 18) Recombinant Vaccine]





Medical Services Advisory Committee

#### **MSAC Outcomes**

Application No. 1276 – Renewal of the National Cervical Screening Program

Sponsor/Applicant/s:	Standing Committee on Screening
Date of MSAC consideration:	MSAC 61st Meeting, 3-4 April 2014

## Cost-effectiveness plane







## MSAC RECOMMENDATIONS

#### **Cervical Screening Test (CST)**

- HPV test with partial genotyping (16/18)
- Reflex Liquid Based Cytology (LBC) triage
- Five year screening interval
- Start at age 25 years
- Exit at 70–74 years
- · All sexually active women-HPV vaccinated or not
- Self collection: never-screened and under-screened
- Invitation & reminders to screen: National Register

## RENEWAL – GOOD NEWS FOR WOMEN

Primary HPV screening program will lead to:

## Up to 30%

Fewer cases of cervical cancer

Fewer deaths from cervical cancer

VCS

## NCSP: 1<sup>ST</sup> MAY 2017

- New screening test HPV
- New screening interval 5 years
- New starting age 25 years
- New finishing age 74 years
- New self-collection
- New National Cancer Screening Register

#### **NEW CHALLENGES**

## Why has the recommended age for commencing screening been raised to 25 years?

Is it safe?





## Three-year average cervical cancer incidence (with 95% CIs), by age and histological type, 1982-2010





## SAFETY OF NOT SCREENING WOMEN (< 25 years)

#### 25 years of screening women under 25 years of age

no impact on incidence of cervical cancer in this age group

#### Systematic literature review

No evidence for screening effectiveness in other countries

#### Very low incidence of cervical cancer in these women

Expected to decline further due to HPV vaccination

#### IARC recommendation

Do not screen women under age 25 years



16/11/2016

# Why has the screening interval been extended from two years to five years?

Is it safe?



## Primary HPV screening

Longitudinal results for screen-negative women



## Primary HPV screening:

Pooled data on invasive cervical cancer outcomes from four European trials - 176,000 women



## WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR YOU?

## What sample should you collect for a cervical screening test ?

#### • Liquid based cervical specimen only

• Conventional Pap smear no longer accepted !!

#### •Laboratories will provide

- detailed instructions
- appropriate consumables
- so that the sample satisfies requirements both

of the HPV test and LBC, should this be required.

## WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR YOU?

- Will still need a speculum vaginal examination
- Will be invited to have a screening test every 5 years
- A sample will be taken from her cervix and sent to lab
  - If cytology needed no additional visit to GP/provider
- Women will receive results from their GP/provider
  - active communication
- Test results: kept by National Cancer Screening Registry



## RENEWAL NCSP

## Steering Committee for the Renewal Implementation Project (SCRIP)

#### **Implementation Project Plan**

- MBS items
- National Cancer Screening Register
- Workforce + Practice Change
- Quality and Safety
- Communication, Education and Information

## NATIONAL CANCER SCREENING REGISTER

- Linked to HPV register
- Used to issue invitations/reminders
- Full history from vaccination-diagnosis
- Colposcopy and pathology data
- Monitoring and service improvement

### One woman = One record





## THE 2016 GUIDELINES



## WHAT WAS INCLUDED?

#### Management of screen detected abnormalities

- Clinician collected cervical samples
- Self-collected vaginal sample

#### Terminology

#### Colposcopy

#### Screening in specific populations

• Pregnancy, Immune-deficient, early sexual activity, DES, after hysterectomy and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women

#### Investigation of abnormal vaginal bleeding



## WHAT'S NEW

- Terminology
- Management of oncogenic HPV test results
- Specific Populations
- Transition to the renewed NCSP
- Investigation of abnormal vaginal bleeding



## TERMINOLOGY: TESTS

- HPV test: detects HPV DNA or RNA in cervical cells contained in a liquid based cervical sample
- Liquid Based Cytology (LBC): cytology performed on a liquid cervical sample and may be manual or automated
- <u>Reflex LBC</u>: cytology performed 'automatically' on a cervical sample in which HPV is detected
- <u>Co-test</u>: HPV test and LBC test ordered together and is used for test of cure, investigation of abnormal vaginal bleeding, after hysterectomy, DES exposed women: but not for routine screening



## **TERMINOLOGY: HISTOLOGY**

#### Lower Anogenital Squamous Terminology (LAST)

- HSIL: high grade squamous intraepithelial lesion -Incorporates CIN2 or CIN3
- LSIL: low grade squamous intraepithelial lesion - appearance of HPV infection in cervix
- SISCCA: superficially invasive squamous cell carcinoma
- Squamous cell carcinoma



## MANAGEMENT OF ONCOGENIC HPV RESULTS

What should we expect from the lab report?

An overall cervical screening risk assessment



Higher risk

Intermediate risk

- A statement of test(s) performed and the results HPV test result including any LBC result
- A recommendation for follow-up/action Taking account of screening history and clinical notes

VCS

## MANAGEMENT OF ONCOGENIC HPV RESULTS

LOW RISK

**HPV not detected** 

**ACTION: REPEAT CST in 5 YEARS** 

HIGHER RISK HPV (16/18) detected (with any LBC result) OR HPV (not 16/18) detected (with LBC: pHSIL, HSIL or any glandular abnormality)

#### **ACTION: REFER for COLPOSCOPY**

## MANAGEMENT OF ONCOGENIC HPV RESULTS

Intermediate risk

HPV (not 16/18) detected (with LBC negative or pLSIL/LSIL)

ACTION: Follow-up HPV test in 12 months



VCS

## Women at Intermediate risk Follow-up HPV test in 12 months

At follow-up 12 month test

HPV detected (any type) with any LBC result (= persistent HPV infection)

ACTION: REFER for COLPOSCOPY

At follow-up 12 month test HPV not detected ACTION: REPEAT CST in 5 YEARS

CERVICAL SCREENING LOW RISK FOR SIGNIFICANT CERVICAL ABNORMALITY

**Specimen** Cervical – ThinPrep

Test results PCR for oncogenic HPV and genotype

• |-

- HPV 16 Not detected
  HPV 18 Not detected
- HPV (not16/18) Not detected

**Recommendation: Re-screen in 5 years** 



CERVICAL SCREENING	HIGHER RISK FOR SIGNIFICANT CERVICAL ABNORMALITY
Specimen	Cervical – SurePath
Test results	PCR for oncogenic HPV and genotype
	• HPV 16 – Not detected
	• HPV 18 – Not detected
	• HPV (not16/18) – <b>Detected</b>
	Liquid based cytology (LBC) manually read:
	HSIL (high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion)
	Endocervical component: Present
Recommenda	ation: Referral for colposcopic assessment



CERVICAL SCREENING	INTERMEDIATE RISK FOR SIGNIFICANT CERVICAL ABNORMALITY
Specimen	Cervical – SurePath
Test results	PCR for oncogenic HPV and genotype
	• HPV 16 – Not detected
	• HPV 18 – Not detected
	• HPV (not16/18) – <b>Detected</b>
	Liquid based cytology (LBC) manually read:
	There is no evidence of a squamous intraepithelial lesion or malignancy
	Endocervical component: Present
Recommenda	ation: Repeat HPV test in 12 months

#### CERVICAL SCREENING UNSATISFACTORY

Specimen Test results

Cervical – ThinPrep

PCR for oncogenic HPV and genotype

- HPV 16 Not detected
- HPV 18 Not detected
- HPV (not16/18) Detected

Liquid based cytology (LBC) image assisted: Unsatisfactory

#### **Recommendation: Repeat LBC in six weeks**







## MSAC RECOMMENDATION

#### Self collection of vaginal sample for HPV test

- -Under screened and never screened women only
- -Facilitated by a health professional
- -Or on behalf of a medical practitioner
- -Who also offers routine cervical screening



## HPV SELF-COLLECTION

- increased participation rate for never and under-screened
- not as effective as health professional collected sample
- more effective than the current Pap test
- accuracy varies for different sampling devices, HPV tests
- less cost effective than routine pathway.
- if HPV+ve will need separate visit for LBC sample
- only available to under or never screeners.





#### CERVICAL SCREENING PATHWAY FOR SELF COLLECTION



#### TRANSITION TO THE RENEWED NATIONAL CERVICAL SCREENING PROGRAM

## **'290 pages'** Wiki Platform PDF

#### NATIONAL CERVICAL SCREENING PROGRAM:

Guidelines for the management of screen-detected abnormalities, screening in specific populations and investigation of abnormal vaginal bleeding



#### **Benefits of wiki-based guidelines**

- Easy to navigate

   links and hyperlinks
- · Easy to update
  - when new evidence becomes available
- Infrastructure in place
  - run literature updates for systematic reviews
    - screen new literature online



#### **ENDORSED BY**

- RACGP
- RANZCOG
- RCPA
- ASCCP
- ASGO

#### NATIONAL CERVICAL SCREENING PROGRAM:

Guidelines for the management of screen-detected abnormalities, screening in specific populations and investigation of abnormal vaginal bleeding



#### MAIN CHANGES FROM MAY 2017

N	OW	Μ	AY 2017
•	Pap Smear	•	HPV Test
•	2 Yearly	•	5 Yearly
•	Start 18 Years	•	Start 25 Years
•	End 69 Years	•	End 70-74 Years
•	Reminders	•	Invitations/Reminders
		•	Self Collection



## Screening history of Victorian women diagnosed with cervical cancer for the period 1 January 2013 to 31 December 2013.

	Invasive so cell caro	juamous inoma	Other in cervical o	vasive ancer⁵			Micr invas	o- ive		
Screening history	Number		Number				Number		Number	
A. Never screened	24	37%	20	34%	44	35%	25	54%	(69)	41%
Never screened, recorded on VCCR <sup>1</sup>	23	35%	9	15%	32	26%	6	13%	38	22%
Never screened, estimated to not be recorded on VCCR <sup>2</sup>	1	2%	11	19%	12	10%	19	41%	31	18%
B. Lapsed screeners	24	37%	25	42%	49	40%	11	24%	(60)	35%
Lapsed screener, > 2.5 years	1	2%	5	8%	6	5%	5	11%	11	6%
Lapsed screener, > 3.5 years	1	2%	6	10%	7	6%	2	4%	9	5%
Lapsed screener, > 5.5 years	11	17%	7	12%	18	15%	1	2%	19	11%
Lapsed screener, > 10 years	9	14%	3	5%	12	10%	3	7%	15	9%
Lapsed screener, > 18 months <sup>3</sup>	2	3%	4	7%	6	5%	0	0%	6	4%
C. Adequately screened (last screen within 2.5 years)	2	3%	7	12%	9	7%	6	13%	15	9%
D. Delayed diagnosis	11	17%	3	5%	14	11%	3	7%	17	10%
E. Not eligible <sup>4</sup>	3	5%	3	5%	6	5%	1	2%	7	4%
F. Other <sup>5</sup>	1	2%	$\frac{1}{2}$	2%	2	2%	0	0%	2	1%
Grand Total			(59)							



### MORE INFORMATION

## www.cancerscreening.gov.au Or Cervicalrenewal@health.gov.au





## THE COMPASS TRIAL, AN UPDATE



## IN THIS SECTION

- Revisit why the trial is being undertaken and how it relates to renewal of the NCSP
- Update recruitment progress
- Discuss the response from recruiting practitioners
- Present our analysis plan, including safety monitoring strategy

   When we expect to be reporting results





STUDY OUTLINE

Large scale RCT of 5-yearly HPV testing vs. 2.5 yearly liquid-based cytology (LBC) screening in Victoria, Australia

• Dual stain (p16/Ki67) compared with LBC as the triage test for women positive for HPV (not 16/18)



![](_page_39_Picture_6.jpeg)

## WHY ANOTHER RCT OF PRIMARY HPV SCREENING?

- Evaluating primary HPV screening in an extensively vaccinated population
  - Previous trials have been conducted prior to the implementation of HPV vaccination
- Applying updated testing technology
  - Allowing separate identification of HPV 16 and 18
  - And thus enhanced management of women who test positive for these types, to match their increased level of risk
- Examining the optimal management of women positive for HPV(not 16/18)
  - Comparing LBC and dual stain as triage tests in this context

![](_page_39_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

## WHY ANOTHER RCT OF PRIMARY HPV SCREENING

- Specific evaluation of safety, effectiveness and costs in Australian context
- Pragmatic trial/demonstration of concept

![](_page_40_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

## p16/Ki-67 Dual Stained Cytology

![](_page_42_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

## DESIGNED AS A SENTINEL EXPERIENCE OF THE RENEWED NCSP

- Has enabled the development and refinement of processes and resources to support
  - Education of women
  - Education of practitioners
  - Laboratory testing and reporting, including the development of combined screening reports
  - Registry follow-up

A JOINT RESEARCH		VICC	Cancer
CANCER COUNCIL NSW	V	VCS	Council

![](_page_43_Picture_9.jpeg)

## PILOT STUDY

- 5,000 women aged 25 to 64
- Recruitment from Oct 2013 Nov 2014
- Three arms: women randomised 1:2:2 to cytology: HPV: HPV screening
- Baseline screening round completed, including 6 month follow-up for histology outcomes
  - These results presented at ASC meeting in 2015 and currently under review with journal
- 12 month follow-up round completed, including 6 month follow-up for histology outcomes
  - Analyses not yet complete

![](_page_43_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

## MAIN TRIAL

- 121,000 women aged 25 to 69
  - 36,300 in the "older" unvaccinated cohort
  - 84,700 in the young vaccine eligible cohort
- Recruitment commenced Jan 2015
- As at October 2016 a total of 56,414 women recruited

![](_page_44_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_1.jpeg)

## LIKE US ON FACEBOOK!

"It's inspirational that so many Victorian women and health professionals are actively involved in this research and are contributing to our understanding of cancer screening..." Todd Harper CEO Cancer Council Victoria

![](_page_45_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_5.jpeg)

ning have found that HPV screening is more sensitive in detecting precancerous lesions than cytology-based screening and that it can safely be conducted

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_2.jpeg)

## RACGP QI & CPD\*

![](_page_46_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Attend a one hour interactive education session (practice visit or webinar) covering
  - current evidence on new cervical cancer screening technologies
  - a detailed discussion about the future NCSP and
  - the Compass trial
- Recruit a minimum number of patients
  - with informed consent and
  - follow up according to trial recommendations
- Complete and return Evaluation and Self Reflection Activity

\*40 QI&CPD Category 1 points. Women's health points apply

![](_page_46_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

## QUOTES FROM GPS

#### "Women Love it"

"patients are more likely to have (their) children vaccinated" "less unsatisfactory samples since starting compass"

![](_page_47_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### More quotes from GPs

"good to translate basic science into tangible benefits for patients"

"feels good to be ahead of the game"

"some patients have come specifically to be involved with the trial"

"Patients more satisfied with a greater explanation of cervical screening"

"I believe that this sort of partnership will enhance screening as women will understand the science underlying the screening process, rather than be put off by the unpleasant examination"

![](_page_48_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_10.jpeg)

## MORE QUOTES FROM GPS

"I have really enjoyed the change to thin preps"

"many thank me for their daughters care"

"Patients more satisfied with a greater explanation of cervical screening"

"completely changed and more confident around Cervical cancer"

*"using the trial to educate patient on cervical cancer but also other gynae health"* 

*"interesting to find older women with neg Paps but HPV positive"* 

![](_page_48_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Analysis plan When can results be expected?

![](_page_49_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_1.jpeg)

## ANALYSIS PLAN, BASELINE

Milestone	Time	Measures of Interest
Baseline	6 months after the last participant in a cohort is recruited.	Test positivity rates for primary and triage tests
		Colposcopy referral rates
		CIN2+ rates
		CIN3+ rates
		ATIVE OF VCS INC & VCS

![](_page_50_Picture_4.jpeg)

## ANALYSIS PLAN, 12 MONTHS

Milestone	Time	Measures of interest
12 month follow up	9 months after the last participant in a cohort was assigned to 12 month follow-up in the baseline screening round*	Test positivity rates for tests
		Sensitivity and specificity for all tests.
		Colposcopy referral rates.
		CIN2+ rates in participants in ARM B who were OHRHPV at baseline and then randomized to LBC or DS triage.
		CIN3+ rates in participants in Arm B who were OHRHPV at baseline and then randomized to LBC/DS triage

\* Note that the 9 months includes an extra 3 months after a participant is due to for their visit and 6 months follow up.

![](_page_50_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

## ANALYSIS PLAN, 2.5 YEARS LBC ARM

Milestone	Time	Measures of interest
2.5 year screening round in Trial Arm A	2.5 years + 9 months after the last participant in a cohort was randomized to Study Arm A at baseline *	Primary (LBC) and triage (HPV) test positive rates.

\* Note that the 9 months includes an extra 3 months after a participant is due to for their visit and 6 months follow up.

![](_page_51_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_6.jpeg)

## ANALYSIS PLAN, SAFETY MONITORING HPV ARM

Milestone	Time	Measures of interest
2.5 year screening round in Trial Arm B	2.5 years + 9 months after the last participant in a cohort was randomized to Study Arm B at baseline *	CIN2+ rates in the safety monitoring cohort

\* Note that the 9 months includes an extra 3 months after a participant is due to for their visit and 6 months follow up.

![](_page_51_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_1.jpeg)

## SAFETY MONITORING

- Recall @2.5 years of a random sample of HPV-negative women in the first screening round:
  - The intent is to recruit for safety monitoring in the trial until 10% of all HPV-negative women have been allocated to safety monitoring.
  - LBC testing at the time of early recall is specified

![](_page_52_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_1.jpeg)

## ANALYSIS PLAN, 5 YEARS TRIAL COMPLETION

Milestone	Time	Measures of interest
5 year screening round	5 years + 9 months after the last participant in a cohort was recruited*	Cumulative CN2+ after HPV exit testing

\* Note that the 9 months includes an extra 3 months after a participant is due to for their visit and 6 months follow up.

*	A JOINT RESEARCH INITIATIVE OF VCS INC & CANCER COUNCIL NSW	VCS	
---	---	-----	--

![](_page_53_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_2.jpeg)

## CONCLUSION

- The Compass trial is being undertaken to build on existing evidence and although not formally related to Renewal, it was designed to inform transition to the renewed NCSP
- Recruitment has been progressing well but challenges remain in relation to the vaccinated cohort
- Recruiting practitioners have overwhelmingly embraced the trial with almost all saying that it has helped then to prepare for renewal
- We look forward to presenting more evidence from the trial as outlined in the analysis plan.

![](_page_55_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### **Acknowledgements**

- PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS Prof Karen Canfell A/Prof Marion Saville
- OTHER CHIEF INVESTIGATORS (MAIN TRIAL)
- Dr. Philip Castle Prof Val Gebski Dr Michael Caruana
- Prof Dorota Gertig Dr Julia Brotherton Dr Stella Heley

- ASSOCIATE INVESTIGATORS (MAIN TRIAL)
  Mr C. David H. Wrede, Clinical lead for the Dysplasia service, The Royal Women's Hospital, Melbourne
  Dr Jeff Tan, Obstetrics and Gynaecology, The Royal Women's Hospital, Melbourne
  Ms Jessica Davlington Brown, Compass Clinical Trial Coordinator
  Dr Siobhan Bourke, Sexual Health Physician and Liaison Physician at VCS
  Dr Jara Roseke, Sexual Health Physician and Liaison Physician at VCS
  Dr Jara Roseke, Sexual Health Physician and Liaison Physician at VCS
  Dr Jara Roseke, Sexual Health Physician C Practice Nurse, Ballarat and District Aborginal Cooperative
  A/Prof Sally Lord, Epidemiologist and Senior Research Fellow at the NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre

- OTHER INVESTIGATORS (PILOT)
- Ms. Gillian Philips Prof. Ruth Salmon

SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE (SAC) MEMBERS
Prof Bruce Armstrong (Chair)
Prof Jonathan Carter
A/Prof Rachel Skinner

- Prof Suzanne Garland Dr Deborah Bateson Prof Andrew Grulich
- Dr Eduardo Franco
- MS Bridget Whelan A/Prof Annabelle Farnsworth (Chair: Histopathology Review Board)
- INDEPENDENT DATA AND SAFETY MONITORING COMMITTEE (IDSMC) MEMBERS

- ENDENT DATA AND SAFETY MONITORING COMMITTE (IDSMC) MEMBERS Prof Michael Quinn, Ramsay Health (Chair) A/Prof Penny Biomfield, Director of Gynaecologic Oncology Services Tasmania, Royal Hobart Hospital Prof Gordon Wrigh, Director of Anatomic Pathology Gold Cast Hospital Campus A/Prof Katrina Sharples, Biostatistician, Preventive & Social Medicine, Dunedin School of Medicine, Health Sciences

![](_page_56_Picture_34.jpeg)

#### **Compass details:**

Website www.compasstrial.org.au

**Pilot Study Registration** ACTRN12613001207707

Main Trial Registration: Clinicaltrials.gov NCT02328872

![](_page_56_Picture_39.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_40.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_41.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_42.jpeg)