



Determinants of sexual infection transmission

Pathogen:

- Transmission probabilities (male to female, female to male, anatomical site)
- Durations of infection (female, male, symptomatic, asymptomatic)
- Behavioral:
 - Rates of partner acquisition
 - Partners per year, time between partnerships (concurrency)
 Rates of partnership dissolution, coital frequency
 - Rates of partnership dissolution, containrequency
 - Is partnership long enough for effective contact (transmission) to occur
 (Health services seeking and utilization)
 - To shorten infection duration treatment

System:

(Mixing patterns)

INCARCERATION AS A RUNNING EXAMPLE

Empirical incarceration study

Incarceration

- Associated with sexual partnership dissolution (Khan et al. 2011)
- Associated with more partners per year (Khan et al. 2008)
- Associated with "separational concurrency" (Adimora et al. 2005)
 Alters sex ratios and thereby power dynamics (Thomas et al. 2006, Pouget et al. 2010, Green et al. 2012)
- Ecologically associated with higher STI Prevalence (Thomas et al. 2005, Thomas et al. 2008, Thomas et al. 2010, Stoley et al. 2015)

Young black men are disproportionately incarcerated

Table 1. Number of Sentenced Prisoners Under State or Federal Jurisdiction per 100,000 Residents, By Sex, Race, Hispanic Origin, and Age, 1996

	Male				Female			
Age	White	Black	Hispanic	Total	White	Black	Hispanic	Total
18-19	263	2,615	1,303	771	17	74	32	27
20-24	762	6,740	2,774	1,886	35	203	115	71
25-29	829	8,319	2,609	2,024	54	415	186	123
30-34	862	7,052	2,547	1,845	73	597	200	160
35-39	759	6,601	2,278	1,615	61	518	193	134
40-44	606	4,824	2,308	1,244	38	326	126	82
45-54	380	2,768	1,313	692	20	161	93	42
55 or older	96	505	413	151	3	18	9	5
Total	370	3,098	1,278	809	23	188	78	51

Source of data: Bureau of Justice Statistics

Objective

- Explore how prison incarceration affects
 - known STI risk factors
 - Partners per year
 - Gap length
 - community STI levels
 - (STI network features)
- Stochastic individual based model of heterosexual Chlamydia trachomotis transmission

A simple model of incarceration

- Men are incarcerated at a constant rate (varied)
 Men remain incarcerated for 3-4 years
- Their sexual partnerships are dissolved
- Women may form new sexual partnerships with remaining men who are not incarcerated
- No additional forced concurrency
- No altering of other behaviors (tolerance of concurrency, condom use, etc).

Incarceration's effects on determinants of sexual infection transmission

Pathogen

- Behavioral
 - Shorter partnerships
 - More partners per year
 - Gap length

System

- Unbalanced sex ratios
- Altered mixing patterns (measured by resulting network shape)
- Lower effective population size





Length of long-term partnerships with variable levels of incarceration

Gap length between partnerships with variable levels of incarceration







Equilibrium prevalence of infection among all as sex ratio is varied



Prevalence of infection as sex ratio is varied





Prevalence of female infection with variable levels of incarceration







Why does incarceration not result in greater STD infection rates?

- What are we not accounting for?
- Sexual assault and transmission while in prison
- Altered power dynamics based on slight imbalance in sex ratios (concurrency tolerance, condoms, etc)
- Changes in behavior
- Greater age at long term relationship establishment (i.e. cessation of new partner acquisition)

Incarceration disrupts sexual networks

- Increases the total number of partnerships formed between people
- Unbalances sex ratios
- Removes of a disproportionate number of men:
 - Remaining network more tightly connected (more contacts are made with the remaining subset of non-incarcerated men)
- Premature partnership dissolution can make partnerships too short to allow for sufficient time (number of coital acts) to transmit infection
- However, these factors alone, do not cause an increase in community STD burden.

Next steps:

- If incarceration increases STD burden in communities, it does so because factors not considered today
 - Providing a venue for sexual assault which can thereby amplify STDs back to the community
 - Altering power dynamics between the sexes potentially resulting in greater tolerance and thus prevalence of concurrency, lack of condom use, etc.

