

Opioid and Stimulant Substitution Treatment

Johan Franck MD PhD

Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm



Conflicts of interest:

none



Substance Use Disorder

- A "prototypical" psychiatric disorder (animal models, etiology, genetic markers, brain pathology)
- Among top disorders in terms of loss of DALY's, and costs
- Evidence-based treatment
- New definition in DSM-5: from mild to severe





A disease of the brain



Time



A majority of intravenous drug users world wide have hepatitis C

- · About 10 million are HCV positive worldwide
- Between 60–80% are HCV positive in 25 countries
- More than 80% are HCV positive in 12 countries.
- · Largest populations:
 - China: 1.6 million
 - USA : 1.5 million (out of a total of 5 million HCV positive)
 - Russia: 1.3 million

Nelson et al. Lancet 2011



Illicit opioid use

- WHO: 41-58m users
- Mortality
 - \rightarrow overdose
 - \rightarrow suicide
 - \rightarrow accidents
 - → infectious diseases



```
    Wolters Kluwer OvidSP
    OvidSP
    OvidSP
    OvidSP
    OvidSP
```



24-Hour Dose Response to Heroin



Hours - 0 = time of IV heroin injection in "tolerant" person



Karolinska Institutet

Proportion of study participants in treatment



Sees, K. L. et al. JAMA 2000;283:1303-1310



Grönbladh et al. 2004; n=345



Buprenorphine/placebo: retention in treatment



Kakko et al. 2003



Meta-analyses MMT

· No methadone, or discharged from treatment:

patients four times more likely to die than those on treatment (RR of 0.25; 95% CI 0.19 to 0.33)

- · Superior levels of retention compared with placebo or no treatment
- Retention increases with dose

NICE guidelines TA 114 (UK) 2007 SBU guidelines (Sweden) 2009



Methadone (MMT) vs buprenorphine (BUP)

- BUP retains people in treatment at any dose above 2 mg (and suppresses illicit opioid use at doses 16 mg or greater)
- MMT is superior to BUP in retaining people in treatment, and MMT equally suppresses illicit opioid use

Mattick et al. 2014





Copyright restrictions may apply.

ARCHIVES OF GENERAL PSYCHIATRY



Copyright restrictions may apply

Prescribed heroin (inhaling and injecting) Sustained response to treatment during 12 months







Urine samples for street heroin (left) and cocaine (right) during study period; —, heroin; , methadone (n=1015)



©2007 by The Royal College of Psychiatrists Haasen C et a

Haasen C et al. BJP 2007;191:55-62



Summary: Heroin Substitution (HAT)

- Results based on patients who do not respond to MMT only
- HAT more effective than MMT for opioid dependent patients who continue to use heroin i v during MMT, or who are not in treatment
- Only in chronic heroin dependence with poor function
- Health economic outcome suggest cost effectiveness in spite of higher cost (Dijkgraaf et al, 2005)



Alternativ therapies – R&D

If no treatment response in spite of multiple attempts, and both methadone and diacetylmorphine ineffective:

- Morphine preparations with extended release
- Diacetylmorphine as inhalant or possibly orally
- Innovative psychosocial interventions (e g, contingency management)
- Experimental therapies (e g, deep brain stimulation; DBS, or supervised injection rooms)



Amphetamines

 WHO: 23-82m million users world wide



Effect of NTX on the rate of continuous abstinence



Jayaram-Lindstrom, Franck et al. (2008) American Journal of Psychiatry



Galloway et al. 2011





 Addiction

 Volume 105, Issue 1, pages 146-154, 19 OCT 2009 DOI: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.2009.02717.x

 http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2009.02717.x/full#f2

Longo et al. 2009



Ling et al. 2014

·HCI



54 mg MPH/placebo 20 weeks (n=79)



Miles et al. 2013

180 mg MPH for amphetamine-dependent criminal offenders with ADHD



24 weeks (n=54)



Konstenius, Franck et al. 2014



Summary – opioid use disorder

- SUD a chronic, relapsing brain disorder
- Increased substance use should trigger more intense treatment, not less
- Methadone and buprenorphine: Oral formulations; flexible dosing regimens
- Naltrexone in early stages of opioid dependence
- HAT may be considered when MMT has failed



Summary – amphetamine use disorder

- Naltrexone
- Stimulants: early, positive findings
- Lack of sufficiently powered controlled trials
- Dosing?
- Long-term adverse events?





A need to lower treatment thresholds

- Increasing accessibility so as to avoid waiting lists
- Personalized treatment options regarding medication and dose
- Flexible treatment duration
- Maintenance and harm reduction with emphasis on the retention of low adherence patients
- Integrate medical care for comorbidities (e g, HCV)



Acknowledgements

Karolinska Addiction Group

Inger Engman-Borg RN Christoffer Brynte MD Anna Celander RN Joar Guterstam MD Anders Hammarberg PhD Nitya Jayaram-Lindström PhD Maija Konstenius PhD

Collaboration

Olof Beck PhD Jörgen Bramness MD PhD Simon Cervenka MD PhD Lars Farde MD PhD Anna-Lena Nordström MD PhD Knut Stokkeland MD PhD Charlotte Söderman MD PhD