The Goldilocks Test

FINDING THE "JUST RIGHT" STUDENT AUTHENTICATION SYSTEM

LARA MABRY, MELISSA MESSINA, & DEVON CANCILLA, PHD WCET CONFERENCE, OCTOBER 12, 2016





The Big Picture



The New Cheating Economy

By Brad Wolverton | August 28, 2016



ifteen credits were all he needed. That's what the school district in California where Adam Sambrano works as a careerguidance specialist required for a bump in pay. But when he saw the syllabus for a graduate course he'd enrolled in last year at Arizona State University, he knew he was in trouble.

Among the assignments was a 19-page paper, longer than anything he'd ever written. The idea of that much research worried Mr. Sambrano, who

also spends time s

Guard. Before the class st. enlisted the servic \$1,000 — less than he was receiving the Sambrano hired a THE CHRONICLE of Higher Education

Chronicle.com

He transferred \$500 upfront, "From Adam for ASU," according to a receipt obtained by *The Chronicle*.

www.chronicle.com/article/The-New-Cheating-Economy



"Culture of Cheating"

Study 1

73.6% of students surveyed felt that it was easier to cheat in an online class. Study 2 21% students report engaging in one or more serious forms of test or exam cheating.

Study 3

In a study of 635 undergraduates and graduates, 32.7% admitted to cheating in an online class at some point while in college.

Student Aid Fraud Is Driving Identity Authentication

From 2009 to 2012, taxpayers lost \$187 million to online identity fraud.



Academic Cheating Defined

Purchasing a paper, assessment, or other assignment

Paying for someone to take a course or test for you

Using notes or other resources when not instructed to

Using someone else's work without attribution

Falsifying data

Copying someone else's answers

Using a false excuse to get a time extension

Legislative Requirement to Authenticate Online Learners



Part H of the Higher Education Opportunity Act (2008)

the institution establishes that the student who registers in a distance education or correspondence education course or program **is the same student** who participates in and completes the program and receives the academic credit.



Other Federal and C-RAC Requirements

Institutions must also:

- Establish in writing that methods protect student privacy.
- Notify students at enrollment or registration of any additional costs.



Accepted Verification Methods

Secure logins and passwords

Proctored tests

Effective ID technologies and practices

- Knowledge-based authentication (KBA)
- Biometrics
- Web video recording



Pilot Setup



UMKC Quick Facts

EE

Public urban research university Main and Health Sciences campuses Enrollment: 14,820 **Entirely online students:** 1,136 Students taking at least one online course: 3,327 Online programs: 11 Next HLC accreditation site visit: 2019

UMKC's Online Authentication Solution

Overall Considerations

- Central university implementation
- Authentication separate from assessments
- Integration with Blackboard, Shibboleth, PeopleSoft

Specific Criteria

- Accuracy and security
- Integration, management, and scalability
- Persistent student profile
- Ease of student use
- Cost

Tool Review and Selection





Getting Started

Contract negotiations

Security review

Vendor relations

Installation of software

Training and development of materials



Pilot Structure

Evaluated 1 tool each term during 3 consecutive terms

Recruited faculty volunteers (ranged 130-320 students per pilot)

Ideal to have a variety of courses from different programs (averaged 6 courses per pilot)





Tool Evaluation



Evaluation of Accuracy – How Does the Verification Process Prove Identity?



Examity: Level AA - Auto-Authentication

Students take pictures of their ID and face, answer challenge questions, and enter a biometric keystroke signature to verify identity.



ProctorU: UCard

A live proctor checks the student's ID and takes control of the computer to take a photo to keep on file before the student answers challenge questions to validate his/her identity and provide a biometric keystroke.

BioSig-ID

tosig-ID

Students create a unique hand-drawn biometric password that can be used to validate student identity each time a course is accessed, entering an exam, and/or at periodic points in the course using adaptive release.

Evaluation of Integration/Scalability

Student Support
NetTutor
ExamityUMKCSSO
Get Help
Tools
Static Link + E-mail Reminders

DIOMETRI				Help About	nowered	
BIOMEIRI	CSIGNA	IUKE			powered	
×IDENTITY P	ROOFING SOLI	UTIONS	S	Device: Mouse @ Change	- R2	
Validate your Identity				Instructions		
	Draw Pad			• Draw the BioSig-ID [™] pas	sword you created,	
	BioSig-ID v4.0			then click Validate	moared to your	
				enrollment profile	npared to your	
Show grid lines: 🗹						
•			Validate A			

ProctorU Authentication 1

Availability: Item is no longer available. It was last available on Jun 19, 2016 11:59 PM. Enabled: Review

Specific Authentication Links Placed in Weekly Folders

Click the link above to complete your first ProctorU authentication, then return to this page and click the "Mark Reviewed" button to indicate that you have authenticated.

This link will be effective from Sun 06/12/16 12:00 AM CDT to Sun 06/19/16 11:45 PM CDT. Please complete your authentication during this period. Thank you.

Evaluation of the Management of Tools

Overall Dashboard View

- Easy to use
- Aggregate view
- Individual student activity

Data Management

- Exported
- Filtered
- Sorted
- Reporting tools

Help Desk Support

- 24/7
- Tracked
- Communicated to institution

Examity Admin Dashboard



ProctorU Admin Dashboard

ERMS	INSTRU				
1	Ç)	exams 24		test-takers 124
rm		Туре		Status	
Find by Term		All	\$	All	\$
rder Most Recent	\$	☐ Single Use	er Exams		Reset Search
	rm Find by Term rder Most Recent	rm Find by Term rder Most Recent	rm Type Find by Term All rder Most Recent \diamondsuit Single Use	rm Type Find by Term All ↓ rder Most Recent ↓ Single User Exams	Image: Second system Image: Second system rm Type Find by Term All All All rder Single User Exams

Name	Term	Instructor	Туре	Test-Takers	Status	Updated	В	SAN
ENGLISH-242-AA-2			Ucard	2	Active	06/23/2016	ß	ø
ENGLISH-242-AA-1			Ucard	1	Active	06/23/2016	6	(M ¹
ART-HIST-110-AA-2			Ucard	3	Active	06/23/2016	6	
ART-HIST-110-AA-1			Ucard	5	Active	06/23/2016	6	ø

BioSig-ID Admin Dashboard



Server Requests Over Time



Real-Time View of Session Activity

Overview





9/4/2016, Sunday 2 sessions



BioSig-ID Reports



Student Reports Sent to Faculty Weekly

		IPs	Users	Avg.
Partner: Blackboard		373	308	1.257
Account: UMKC		373	308	1.257
United States		373	308	1.257
Users by State/Region (Top 20)	MO - 236 KS - 47 Unknown - 20 GA - 9 CA - 8 IL - 6 IA - 5 CO - 4 MI - 3 OH - 2 TN - 2 AL - 2 TX - 2 OK - 2 FL - 2 NV - 1 MN - 1	Users by MO KS Unknown GA CA IL IA CO MI OH TN AL TX OK FL NV MN WY AE	Region	236 47 20 9 8 6 5 4 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1
IP Address and Location Checks	AE - 1			

Ease of Student Use

Persistent student profile

Student survey

Talk with the faculty

Keep in mind student concerns for privacy





Lessons Learned

Do a comparison of different tools

Allot adequate time and resources to run the pilots

Develop awareness of other institutional stakeholders

Set the stage early for faculty involvement

Be clear in all communications with students, faculty, and administration



Challenges Ahead

Policy development	 Related to required use of authentication tool, monitoring, disciplinary measures, etc 	
Revisions to existing honor code	 Language addressing online cheating and possible penalty for violations 	
Final tool selection	 Evolving tools 	
Deployment	 Scalability 	







References

Higher Education Opportunity Act, Public Law 110–315. (2008). Retrieved from https://www.congress.gov/110/plaws/publ315/PLAW-110publ315.pdf

King, D. L., and Casee, C. J. (2014). E-Cheating: Incidence and trends among college students. Issues in Information Systems, 15(1), 20-27. Retrieved from http://iacis.org/iis/2014/4_iis_2014_20-27.pdf

King, G., Guyette, R.W., and Piotrowski, C. (2009). Online exams and cheating: An empirical analysis of business students' views. The Journal of Educators Online, 6(1). Retrieved from http://www.academia.edu/25897317/Online_exams_and_cheating_An_empirical_analysis_of_business_students_views.

McCabe, D. L. (2005). Cheating among college and university students: A North American perspective. International Journal for Educational Integrity, 1. Retrieved from https://www2.bc.edu/~peck/mccabe%20article.pdf.

Redding, A., James, C., and Gardner, H. (2016). Nurturing ethical collaboration. Independent School Magazine. Retrieved from http://www.nais.org/Magazines-Newsletters/ISMagazine/Pages/Nurturing-Ethical-Collaboration.aspx.

Rigby, D., Burton, M., Balcombe, K, Bateman, I., & Mulatu, A. (2015). Contract cheating and the market in essays. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization. DOI: 10.1016/j.jebo.2014.12.019

Testimony of Inspector General Kathleen S. Tighe U.S. Department of Education Office of Inspector General before the Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies Committee on Appropriations, U.S. House of Representatives (2013, March 19). Retrieved from https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oig/auditrpts/testimony03192013.pdf.

Watson, G., and Sotille, J. (2010). Cheating in the digital age: Do students cheat more in online courses? Online Journal of Distance Learning Administration, 13(1). Retrieved from http://www.westga.edu/~distance/ojdla/spring131/watson131.html.

Wolverton, B. (2016). The new cheating economy. Chronicle of Higher Education. Retrieved from http://www.chronicle.com/article/The-New-Cheating-Economy.



Appendix Slides

RESULTS OF PILOTS





Examity: Pilot Results

Total Students Completing Examity Pilot Authentication Process



Examity: Survey Results (50% response rate)





ProctorU: Pilot Results

Total Students Completing ProctorU Pilot Authentication Process Total Process Complete Validation #2 Validation #1 Profiles Set Up **Total Students**

ProctorU: Survey Results (58% response rate)



Question: How confident are you that the process was effective in establishing your identity?

Question: How easy was the Examity enrollment and validation process?

Tools

Files

Customization Packages and Utilities

Quick Unenroll

Help



2:03