Nucleos(t)ide analogues for chronic hepatitis B: Cessation of treatment. Alex Thompson

Gold Coast, 31st September 2016







Acknowledgement to Country

 We recognise the traditional custodians of the land and sea on which we live and work



Disclosures

- Advisory board member Gilead, Abbvie, Bristol-Myers Squibb (BMS), Merck, and Roche Diagnostics
- Speaker Gilead, Janssen, Merck, BMS, Abbvie
- PI Gilead, Merck, Roche, BMS, Janssen, Spring Bank
- Research / grant support Gilead, Merck, BMS, Abbvie
- My presentation includes discussion of drugs which are not approved for clinical use

Stopping NAs: Guideline recommendations

	EASL (2012)	AASLD (2015)	APASL (2015)
HBeAg- positive	HBeAg seroconversion + ≥ 12m of consolidation	HBeAg seroconversion + ≥ 12m of consolidation	HBeAg seroconversion + ≥ 12m of consolidation (preferably 3yrs)
HBeAg- negative	Confirmed HBsAg loss PLUS anti-HBs seroconversion + ≥ 12m of consolidation	Indefinite treatment is recommended Stopping "MBC" in persons with HBsAg loss Treatment discontinuation in persons with cirrhosis is not recommended	No cirrhosis:i) HBsAg lossPLUSanti-HBs seroconversionOR≥ 12 months of consolidationii) after treatment for at least2 years with undetectable HBV DNAdocumented on three separate occasions,6 months apart (B1).Cirrhosis:Stopping "MBC" in cirrhotic patients witha careful off-therapy monitoring plan

EASL guidelines J Hepatol, 2012; 57:167-85 Terrault N, Hepatology, 2016; 63(1):261-83 Sarin, SK. Hepatol Int, 2016; 10:1–98

HBEAG-POSITIVE CHB

Stopping NAs: Guideline recommendations

	EASL (2012)	AASLD (2015)	APASL (2015)
HBeAg- positive	HBeAg seroconversion + ≥ 12m of consolidation	HBeAg seroconversion + ≥ 12m of consolidation	HBeAg seroconversion + ≥ 12m of consolidation (preferably 3yrs)

EASL guidelines J Hepatol, 2012; 57:167-85 Terrault N, Hepatology, 2016; 63(1):261-83 Sarin, SK. Hepatol Int, 2016; 10:1–98

HBeAg S/C is not always durable

• Single centre observational study, n=132

Age, y	38 ± 15
Sex (male, %)	100 (76%)
Race	
Caucasian	77 (58%)
Asian	41 (31%)
Other	14 (11%)
Body mass index	24 ± 4.1
ALT, ×upper limit of normal ^a	2.3 (1.4-4.8)
HBV-DNA level, log ₁₀ copies/mL	8.2 ± 1.6
Genotype (N = $127)^b$	
A	45 (35%)
В	25 (20%)
С	19 (15%)
D	33 (26%)
Other	5 (4%)
Cirrhosis	23 (17%)
Treatment course	
Lamivudine	67 (51%)
Adefovir	33 (25%)
Entecavir	22 (17%)
Other	10 (7%)
Nucleos(t)ide analogue treatment-naive	117 (89%)

42 patients achieved HBeAg S/C* ٠ HBeAg sero-reversion 80-33 experience SR or VR ٠ despite ongoing NA therapy = NA resistance 9 patients stopped NA after ٠ > 6m consolidation therapy 3/9 HBeAg SR 7/9 virological recurrence Percent virologic 50% 12 24 36 48 Treatment month

Reijnders Gastro 2010

Consolidation therapy ≥ 12 months is important for reducing the risk of relapse

Retrospective multi-centre study of Korean patients treated with lamivudine monotherapy

Characteristic	HBeAg (+) CHB n = 178 (%)
Male:female	129:49
Mean age (years)	39.0 ± 10.3
≤40	101 (56.7)
>40	77 (43.3)
Mean serum ALT (IU/L)	265.1 ± 225.3 (48-678)
>1 and ≤2 times ULN*	14 (7.9)
>2 and ≤5 times ULN*	73 (41.0)
>5 and ≤10 times ULN*	61 (34.3)
>10 and <20 times ULN*	30 (16.9)
Mean serum HBV DNA (log ₁₀ copies/mL)	7.8 ± 1.0 (5.2-9.4)
≤8.0	94 (52.8)
>8.0	84 (47.2)
Family history of CHB	56 (31.5)
Previous IFN- α therapy	17 (9.6)
Mean treatment duration, months (range)	26 (12-77)
Mean total follow-up duration, months (range)	53 (24-90)

Relapse = HBV DNA > 2800 IU/mL



Lee, Hepatology, 2010

Is 12 months consolidation enough?

Relapse is more common using a more sensitive HBV DNA assay



Consolidation > 3 years may reduce relapse rate



Conclusion

• No cirrhosis

- Stopping NA after HBeAg S/C is reasonable
 - HBeAg loss, anti-HBe seroconversion
 - Consolidation ≥ 12m post S/C is important
 - The role of longer consolidation therapy needs to be evaluated
- People who stop antiviral therapy should be monitored for recurrent viremia, ALT flares, and seroreversion
 - every 3 months for at least 1 year, then 6 monthly

Cirrhosis

- Stopping NA after HBeAg S/C is controversial
- AASLD recommends indefinite antiviral therapy
- EASL ...stopping might be considered, but ...NA therapy should usually be continued indefinitely in cirrhotic patients
- APASL NA therapy may also be considered in cirrhotic patients with acareful off-therapy monitoring plan
 - Every 1 month for 3 months, every 3 months to 1 year, then 6 monthly

HBEAG-NEGATIVE CHB

Management of HBeAg-negative CHB

- Nucleoside analogues (NA) are the mainstay of current therapy
 - Potent antiviral activity
 - High genetic barrier to resistance
- But...optimal treatment duration for patients with HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B (CHB) remains uncertain

Stopping NAs: Guideline recommendations

	EASL (2012)	AASLD (2015)	APASL (2015)
HBeAg- negative	Confirmed HBsAg loss PLUS anti-HBs seroconversion + ≥ 12m of consolidation	Indefinite treatment is recommended Stopping "MBC" in persons with HBsAg loss Treatment discontinuation in persons with cirrhosis is not recommended	No cirrhosis:i) HBsAg lossPLUSanti-HBs seroconversionOR≥ 12 months of consolidationii) after treatment for at least2 years with undetectable HBV DNAdocumented on three separateoccasions,6 months apart (B1).Cirrhosis:Stopping "MBC" in cirrhoticpatients with a careful off-therapymonitoring plan

EASL guidelines J Hepatol, 2012; 57:167-85 Terrault N, Hepatology, 2016; 63(1):261-83 Sarin, SK. Hepatol Int, 2016; 10:1–98

HBeAg-negative CHB: Virologic relapse occurs when NA stopped

Virological relapse defined as HBV DNA >2000 IU/mL.



Seto 2015

Why STOP?

- Long-term therapy presents challenges
 - adherence can be challenging
 - risk of resistance
 - risk of adverse events (e.g. renal, bone AEs)
 - **-**\$\$\$

Why STOP?

- STOPping long-term NA may have benefit
 - NA deplete cccDNA
 - NA promote restoration of anti-HBV immunity
 - − STOPping \rightarrow virological relapse \rightarrow therapeutic hepatitis flare:
 - sustained immunological control (phase 3)
 - ± HBsAg loss



Modified from Chan, J Hepatology, 2012

Data from small studies suggest: - long-term SVR is possible - HBsAg loss may occur

- · Prospective observational study of patients who stopped long-term adefovir
- n = 33, HBeAg-negative CHB, European genotype D disease
- Primary end-point = sustained response

 HBV DNA persistently ≤ 2000 IU/mL combined with persistently normal ALT values (≤ 40 U/L) following post-treatment month 6 sustained to the end of follow-up.



Year 5 – stop adefovir

Hadziyannis, Gastro, 2012

HBsAg levels at EOT may predict for risk of relapse



Hadziyannis, Gastro, 2012

HBsAg levels may also identify patients who achieve HBsAg loss after stopping NA therapy



MVA – sustained response – low HBsAg levels (EOT), younger age and F gender MVA – HBsAg loss – low HBsAg, low HBV DNA (PT), longer treatment duration

Chen J Hepatol 2014

HBsAg levels predict for HBsAg decline

(FINITE-CHB study)

TDF-Stop: Week 48 HBsAg Change From Baseline



Positive correlation between baseline HBsAg and %change from baseline in HBsAg at Week 48 (corr.=0.62, p=0.003)

T Berg et al., 00119 EASL 2015

Long-term consolidation therapy may reduce the risk of relapse in patients with HBeAg-negative CHB



Consolidation > 64 weeks assoc with lower relapse in pts without cirrhosis and high DNA

Jeng Hepatology 2013

Long-term consolidation therapy may reduce relapse and increase HBsAg loss



Chi, APT, 2015

Early biochemical flare may predict for HBsAg loss in sustained responders



No HBsAg loss was observed in patients who had NA restarted

Hadziyannis, Gastro, 2012

FINITE-CHB study



ALT Profiles



- ALT peaked at >2xULN in 12/21 TDF-Stop subjects (57%)
- ALT up to W48
 - Median: 162 U/L
 - Min: 25 U/L
 - Max: 983 U/L
- At W48*
 - 100% (18/18) ALT < 2xULN</p>
 - 83% (15/18) ALT < ULN</p>
 - * TDF-Restart excluded

FINITE-CHB study



T Berg et al., 00119 EASL 2015

TDF-Stop: HBsAg loss, HBV DNA, ALT, TDF-Restart



Stopping NA therapy is safe*





Why STOP?

- STOPping long-term NA may have benefit
 - NA deplete cccDNA
 - NA promote restoration of anti-HBV immunity
 - − STOPping \rightarrow virological relapse \rightarrow therapeutic hepatitis flare:
 - sustained immunological control (phase 3) = SVR
 - ± HBsAg loss



Modified from Chan, J Hepatology, 2012

The STOP strategy

- Paradigm shift:
 - Early virological relapse is expected
 - ALT flare is important for achieving SVR and HBsAg loss
 - "therapeutic" flare = immune control
 - close monitoring is necessary
- Prospective studies are needed
 - NHMRC project 1066536

STOPping vs novel immunotherapies?



Adapted from Chan, Thompson et al. J Hepatol, 2011

Novel immunomodulators: "therapeutic flare vs. drug toxicity"

GS-9620 (TLR7 agonist): Chimpanzee studies



Lanford, Gastroenterology, 2013

Conclusion

	EASL (2012)	AASLD (2015)	APASL (2015)
HBeAg- positive	HBeAg seroconversion + ≥ 12m of consolidation	HBeAg seroconversion + ≥ 12m of consolidation	HBeAg seroconversion + ≥ 12m of consolidation (preferably 3yrs)
HBeAg- negative	Confirmed HBsAg loss PLUS anti-HBs seroconversion + ≥ 12m of consolidation	Indefinite treatment is recommended Stopping "MBC" in persons with HBsAg loss Treatment discontinuation in persons with cirrhosis is not recommended	No cirrhosis: i) HBsAg loss PLUS anti-HBs seroconversion OR ≥ 12 months of consolidation ii) after treatment for at least 2 years with undetectable HBV DNA documented on three separate occasions, 6 months apart (B1). Cirrhosis: Stopping "MBC" in cirrhotic patients with a careful off-therapy monitoring plan

EASL guidelines J Hepatol, 2012; 57:167-85 Terrault N, Hepatology, 2016; 63(1):261-83 Sarin, SK. Hepatol Int, 2016; 10:1–98

Conclusion

- HBeAg-negative CHB?
 - Prospective studies of NA cessation in HBeAgnegative CHB are ongoing
 - Goal = HBsAg loss
 - Suitable for non-cirrhotics
 - Close monitoring post-cessation is required