Eratosthenes

Levant basin

The origin and evolution of the Jonah high and its significance to the Levant Basin rifting history

Sagy et al., 2015

Jonah high

Cyprus

Yael Sagy ^a, Zohar Gvirtzman ^{b,d}, Moshe Reshef ^c,

Yizhaq Makovsky^e







a The Geophysical Institute of Israel, P.O. Box 182, Lod 71100, Israel b Geological Survey of Israel, 30 Malkhei Israel, Jerusalem 95501, Israel c The Department of Geophysics, Atmospheric, and Planetary Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel-Aviv 69978, Israel

d The Institute of Earth Sciences, Hebrew University, Jerusalem 91904, Israel e Dr. Moses Strauss Department of Marine Geosciences, CSMS, University of Haifa, Mount Carmel, Haifa 31905, Israel



Sea Floor (10)
Base Messinian (7)
Mid. Pliocene (9)
Late Miocene (6)
Base Saqiye (3)
Top Jonah
Base Santonian (2)
Modified from Steinberg et al., 2011; Sagy et al., 2015



Mud diapir? (Ben-Gai and Druckman, 2013)

The Enigma of Jonah high:

Fold? Magmatic body?





Post rift contractional phase –

the equivalent of the onshore

Syrian Arc fold system?

Rift related magmatism?

Early Cretaceous magmatism?

Tertiary magmatism?

Rifting stage

Amount of extension

Heat flow

Reconstruction of plate's fragments



Explore the origin and evolution of Jonah high

Specific aims:

- <u>Internal reflections</u>: artifact? flat? folded? intrusive?
- <u>Geometric relationship</u> between structure and bordering reflectors
- <u>Deeper boundaries</u>: defining the bordering faults
- <u>Gravity an magnetic data:</u> do they fit to the proposed model?

Main tool: Seismic imaging and interpretation

Data and Methods:

- **Regional interpretation** \bigstar on ~27, 000 km of 2D seismic lines
- 🛠 Processing raw data of 5 🛱 seismic lines performing **Pre-stack Depth Migration (PSDM)**
- Gravity and magnetic \bigstar modeling along profile A A'

34°E

35°E

33°N

6

'A'

32°N

33°E

33°N

32°N

285 ηΤ

-245 ŋT

Magnetic Anomaly



Line EMED-39

S

V = 4000 m/s below mid. Miocene



Line EMED-39

S

V = **5000** m/s below mid. Miocene



Line EMED-39

S

V = 5500 m/s below mid. Miocene



Line EMED-39

S

V = **6000** m/s below mid. Miocene



Final interval velocity model

Line EMED-39





Thickness variation analysis

NW

SE



Example from line IS-4045



Horst not reactivated

Burial history of Jonah high



Structural Maps



Structural Maps



Gravity and Magnetic modeling



Gravity and Magnetic modeling



Granot, 2016 *Tectonic map of the eastern Mediterranean*



Latitude (°)

Conclusions

- Ancient horst probably formed during the rifting stage.
- Never reactivated tectonically
- Seamount that lasted ~140 m.y. until the Early Miocene (25 Ma)
- Gradually buried by onlapping sediments and coevally, was shrinking due to lateral retreat of its walls.
- It probably was occasionally growing upward by carbonate buildup that kept it high relative to its surrounding seafloor.

HORST & GRABEN STRUCTURE

The lithosphere was not ruptured during the Early Mesozoic rifting

A thinned continental crust underlie the Levant basin and not an oceanic one

Horst and garbens indicate extended thinned continental crust



Levant Basin Extension

Lithospheric

rupture





STAGE 4 AD FL NOT ILLUSTRATED) RE

ADDITIONAL BEDS DEPOSITED. BED B ASSUMES AN INCREASING DIP ON FLANKS AND BECOMES THINNER AND MORE COMPACTED WITH TIME, BUT D) RELATIVE THINNING OVER CREST OF STRUCTURE PERSISTS. DIPS OF VARIOUS HORIZONS DECREASE UPSECTION. THIS TYPE OF STRUCTURE CONFORMS TO NEVIN'S (1931) DEFINITION OF "SUPRATENUOUS FOLD."

